

Exhibit:

Exhibitor:

Frame no:

Postal Stationery

Evaluation criteria		Max	Score	
A1	Treatment	20		
A2	Philatelic Importance	10		
B	Philatelic and related Knowledge, Personal Study and Research	35		
C1	Condition	10		
C2	Rarity	20		
D	Presentation	5		
Total		100		

Score table and award		
Medal	Nordic	
Gold	90	The exhibit is awarded the following medal: <input type="checkbox"/> Special prize <input type="checkbox"/> Felicitations of the Jury
Large Vermeil	85	
Vermeil	80	
Large Silver	75	
Silver	70	
Silver bronze	65	
Bronze	60	
Certificate of participation	≤ 59	

Comments of the Jury			
A1	<input type="checkbox"/>	The exhibit does not correspond with title / plan.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Plan of the exhibit is missing / is incomplete.	
A2	<input type="checkbox"/>	The collecting area has large/normal/small philatelic importance.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Broaden / reduce the collecting area.	
B	<input type="checkbox"/>	The exhibit includes wrong information or information is missing.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Take part of existing literature and use existing research better.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Item descriptions should be further thoroughly.	
C1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Some items are in bad condition.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Modern material should be in much better condition.	
C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	There should be a higher level of rarity.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Too many "philatelic" items occur in the exhibit.	
D	<input type="checkbox"/>	Too little/ much text. More / Fewer items per sheet.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Use smaller / larger Font Size.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mounting of items is unequal in different considerations. (height / breadth / framing / headlining / font).	

With this evaluation the exhibit can be entered to:

National exhibition:

Nordic exhibition:

International exhibition:

Signature of Team Leader

Other comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....