

Exhibit:

Exhibitor:

Frame no:

Traditional Philately

Evaluation criteria		Max	Score	
A1	Treatment	20		
A2	Philatelic Importance	10		
B	Philatelic and related Knowledge, Personal Study and Research	35		
C1	Condition	10		
C2	Rarity	20		
D	Presentation	5		
Total		100		

Score table and award			
Medal	Nordic		
Gold	90		The exhibit is awarded the following medal: <input type="checkbox"/> Special prize <input type="checkbox"/> Felicitations of the Jury
Large Vermeil	85		
Vermeil	80		
Large Silver	75		
Silver	70		
Silver bronze	65		
Bronze	60		
Certificate of participation	≤ 59		

Comments of the Jury		
A1	<input type="checkbox"/> The exhibit does not correspond with title / plan. <input type="checkbox"/> Plan of the exhibit is missing / is incomplete.	
A2	<input type="checkbox"/> The collecting area has large/normal/small philatelic importance. <input type="checkbox"/> Broaden / reduce the collecting area.	
B	<input type="checkbox"/> The exhibit includes wrong information or information is missing. <input type="checkbox"/> Take part of existing literature and use existing research better. <input type="checkbox"/> Item descriptions should be further thoroughly.	
C1	<input type="checkbox"/> Some items are in bad condition. <input type="checkbox"/> Modern material should be in much better condition.	
C2	<input type="checkbox"/> There should be a higher level of rarity. <input type="checkbox"/> Too many "philatelic" items occur in the exhibit.	
D	<input type="checkbox"/> Too little/ much text. More / Fewer items per sheet. <input type="checkbox"/> Use smaller / larger Font Size. <input type="checkbox"/> Mounting of items is unequal in different considerations. (height / breadth / framing / headlining / font).	

With this evaluation the exhibit can be entered to:

National exhibition:

Nordic exhibition:

International exhibition:

Signature of Team Leader

Other comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....